Cornwall Development Review Board (DRB) MINUTES • January 10, 2019 • 7:00–9:35pm Special Meeting • Cornwall Town Hall

MEMBERS PRESENT: Barbara Greenwood, Joe Severy, Gary Barnett, Magna Dodge, Shari Johnson

ALTERNATES PRESENT: David Anderson; Cheryl Cesario (recused)
GUESTS: 39 members of the public and 5 applicant representatives

- **1. CALL TO ORDER:** 7:05pm. Barbara opened the meeting.
- 2. QUORUM: Established.
- **3. AGENDA**: Magna MOVED/Joe SECONDED to approve the Agenda as presented. <u>Motion passed</u>
- 4. MINUTES:
 - **December 5, 2018**—Magna MOVED/Gary SECONDED to approve the December 5 Minutes. *Motion passed*

5. BEAVER BROOK SUBDIVISION HEARING

• Barbara opened the Hearing with introductions, an explanation of the purpose of the Hearing (to hear a preliminary subdivision application proposing to subdivide the property on the corner of North Bingham Street and Route 74, owned by Beaver Brook Properties LLC), noting that this was quite likely to be the first of several Hearings on this matter. The DRB had classified the project as a Major Subdivision at the June 12, 2018, Sketch Plan Meeting. The applicants submitted an application on November 9, 2018,, which was determined to be incomplete. On December 12, 2018, with additional materials, the application was refiled. On December 18, 2018, the application was determined by the ZA, Jim Duclos, to be substantially complete.

Barbara then explained the procedures to be followed this evening, read aloud the "interested person" explanation, and administered the oath to those present who planned to participate. DRB members were requested to come forth with any ex parté communications or conflicts of interest. Barbara said she had visited the property on December 22; Joe, Magna, and Gary indicated they had walked the property; there were no ex parté communications; Cheryl indicated that she would recuse herself due to a potential conflict of interest. Barbara then continued by reading aloud the Warning as published on December 20, 2018, in the *Addison Independent*. She then began identifying an initial list of items that were entered into evidence and assigned exhibit designators.

The Exhibits entered into evidence initially, as well as subsequently during the Hearing were:

- Exhibit A—Proof of Service, notice of Hearing mailed to abutters
- Exhibit B—Hearing Warning and tear-sheet from the Addison Independent showing the Warning was published on December 20, 2018.
- Exhibit C—Application form, dated November 8, 2018
- Exhibit D—Booklet supplied with the Application, containing current materials as well as materials from the March and June, 2018, Sketch Plan Review Meetings

- Exhibit E—Green Mountain Engineering, Infrastructure Design Summary, includes material on the Storm Water Treatment Plan and the Wastewater Treatment Plan
- Exhibit F—Stephen Revell, Proposed 8-Lot Water System, December 4, 2018
- Exhibit G—Stephen Revell, Site Specific Effluent Mounding Analysis, Lots 3–5; dated December 4, 2018
- Exhibit H—Stephen Revell, Site Specific Effluent Mounding Analysis, Lots 1, 2, 6–8; dated December 4, 2018
- Exhibit I—Green Mountain Engineering, Traffic Evaluation Report, dated December 5, 2018
- Exhibit J—Ron LaRose, Subdivision Survey, dated October 22, 2018
- Exhibit K—Green Mountain Engineering, Site Plan Drawings, dated November, 2018
 - *K1*—Cover
 - *K2*—Existing Conditions Site Plan
 - *K3*—Proposed Conditions Site Plan
- Exhibit L—Cornwall Conservation Commission—Letter indicating no issues with the proposed project, dated December 29, 2018
- Exhibit M—Project Layout Materials from the June 12, 2018, Sketch Plan ReviewMeeting
- Exhibit N—Document containing comments on the proposed project from 7 community residents, received at the Hearing (Barry, Broughton ,Hill, Keefe,Karnes-Keefe, Napier, and Quinttus)
- Exhibit O—Document containing comments on the proposed project from Allison Quinttus, received at the Hearing.
- *Exhibit P*—A copy of VLT's orthophoto of the property.
- Applicant Testimony—Churchill Franklin introduced Matt Bonner and their panel of advisors: Jamie Simpson of Green Mountain Engineering, Steve Revell of Lincoln Applied Geology, Eric Blair, Designer/Planner, and Al Karnatz from the Vermont Land Trust. He explained more on the project, particularly regarding its background.. He noted that he had met and spoken with Nicolette Bingham in New York.

Matt read through the narrative of the proposed project, commenting on differences between the current proposal and that presented in June, adding details to other sections of the proposal and clarifying in certain instances.

- Since June, additional lands added to be conserved, Lot 10 in particular.
- Lot 9—the only lot to have its own drive and septic.
- C1–C3 represent 3 common areas with C3 to be the site of the mound systems for Lots 1–8.
- There will be 8 new buildings (Lots 2 and 4 will be duplexes) for a total of 10 new dwelling units.

Eric commented on some of the lot layouts and issues from the June meeting.

Steve Revell commented on coming legislation relating to the requirement for alternative septic sites. He also explained how he determined the water supply and septics to be adequate for each dwelling. He has no doubts at all about the supply of development water to its homes, nor about the lack of negative impact on neighborhood wells. He feels strongly that the geological structure of the entire area provides more than enough water and water storage for everyone.

Jamie Simpson explained the Storm Water Treatment system, including how runoff is collected on each parcel and channeled toward the large pond in the NorthEast section of the property. It first settles into a smaller pond where silt, mud, etc collect. The sediment forebay will need maintenance every 5 years, or as needed. The flow runs from parcels to ponds via a series of ditches.

Board Questions/Comments

- Lot C2—what is planned for the church? Matt—it is being refurbished with an eye to future use as a community center for development residents and others in the West Village community. The Homeowners Association will own and maintain it. There are currently no proposals for water or septic for the church.
- Lot 10—"ownership to be finalized" Matt—the phrase reflects that the final ownership of that lot depends on what issues come up with the Land Trust as the conservation plans are fleshed out.
- Lot 9—no secondary replacement septic area? Steve—there is a change coming to the state wastewater regulations that removes the requirement for a secondary replacement site, as noted above. It appears that most mounds fail right within the mound itself. The solution is to haul it away, then rebuild with new pipes and soils. Each home will have its own effluent tank, contents then flow to the mound's dosing chamber.
- **Ponds**—*Will they handle a "100-year storm"? will they need dredging?* **Jamie**—Storm: yes; Dredging: maybe, but only every 5 or so years or on an "as needed" basis.
- Lot 5—House site lies within the Class 2 Wetland buffer? Jamie—It does, that may change when a "next look" happens in the spring and it can be seen better how and where the wetland lies. The Lot 5 house-site may have to move to the south.
- Wells—water softeners effects? Steve: If properly designed, installed, set, and maintained there will be no effect. The softeners should be installed by a professional. His preference would be to have water from softeners directed to pumps immediately, not to the mounds. The HOA regulations should address water softener issues, including the requirement to be properly set.

Of the 8 lots, 5–8 will have individual wells, while lots 1–4 share wells. All wells will be at least 200' apart. He says this will address any concerns about depleting the water-table. His calculations were based on "average daily demand" (420g/day per 3-bedroom unit), "maximum daily demand" (the rate a well must produce in a 12-hour period), and "instantaneous peak demand" (5g/minute). No well in the development will have any issues, based on those calculations.

How can the development's water use vs depletion of neighborhood water table be evaluated? Work out well spacings, look at population numbers. In his report, Revell stated that "well yield problems and well interference impacts have a very low probability of occurring."

- **Development's Road**—**Matt**: the road will be built to State standards. There will be no street lights installed.
- **Traffic—Matt:** The Traffic Study projected a 4% increase traffic. **Magna:** Reminded applicants DRB wants a safety study re; Rte. 74 & North Bingham intersection.

- VLT—Al: VLT is very interested in this easement, which will involve 125 acres. Ultimately, he anticipates ownership by the Cesarios. The easement has received preliminary approval. There is a riparian buffer along the brook, and a woodland management plan has been addressed. This summer, he expects work on appraisals and contracts to be done. The process could be completed by early 2020. He presented Barbara with a copy of VLT's orthophoto which she took into evidence as *Exhibit P*. VLT does not, normally, like to have easements on lots under 20 acres, but it may be possible to include the smaller lot [Lot 10/wildlife corridor] with the larger easement. There are still some things to work out.
- Lot 4 Existing Buildings—any plans to support/maintain them? Churchill: They are OK at the moment. There are no plans in the short-term to deal with them.
- Work Hours—Matt: Just to clarify, as Saturday is mentioned as a work day, there will be no Saturday development work, hours typically run until 3:30. So, work hours will be scheduled for Monday–Friday, 7:00AM to 5:00PM.

Public Questions/Comments

• Mickey Heinecken—Is there any obligation on the part of the Town for "Affordable Housing"? Is this to be addressed? Matt: They have found \$200,000 to \$400,000 to be the sweet spot for sales.

Mickey: The last of the 4 newest Habitat for Humanity homes is priced at \$135,000. Could an additional lot be incorporated to allow selling a parcel at a lower rate, the difference made up by the added lot?

- Cheryl Cesario—Addressed how important the Bingham land is to her livestock operation and how the proposed conservation of Lot 10 has helped them move their animals from one place to another.
- Randy Martin—regarding an adequate aquifer, and the Town's multi-year salt pumping of the contaminated water—how is adequacy determined? He noted that he has had to drill his well deeper. Steve Revell: You look at geology and density of development. The aquifer is bountiful.
- Elizabeth Karnes Keefe—noted that in drought periods, Cider Milll wells have gone dry. What is the sales time-frame? Matt: Not sure yet. Also unknown is whether they themselves will be the builders of some, or any, of the houses. However, the road and utilities work will all be done at once so there will not be on-going infrastructure work, only the work related to the home building.
- Elizabeth Napier—Disagrees with reasons given by developers for requesting waivers for the common lots (C1–3). On what grounds, and what precedents will be set by, the granting of the common lot waivers. Barbara: That will depend on further investigation.
- Tom Keefe—Disagrees that this proposal represents the Town Plan. The duplexes and circular drive is like nothing else in the West Cornwall community. Additionally, he wants to see the HOA be strongly supportive of conservation of existing buildings, noting that the church is greatly in need of support. Last, 80 additional vehicular trips each day represent a serious safety issue.
- **Ben Wood**—Concern expressed for the existing buildings, there should be a plan for their support. Adding septic and water to the church might impact the development plan, has this been considered?

- **Bethany Hill**—Does not look forward to seeing rows of mailboxes and trash/recycling along the roadside, wants the DRB to remember the other residents of the neighborhood.
- Sarah Pelkey—Also concerned about the church, wonders where the parking for it will be located. Feels there is a need to address traffic safety, that the study done focussed more on the entrance to the development than to safety issue at the intersection.
- Barney Hodges—He feels that wanting or expecting no change is unrealistic, and appreciates the efforts made by the project developers toward keeping much of the Bingham acreage open and available to agriculture.
- Allison Quinttus—Suggests DRB follow the Town Plan closely, being careful of setting precedents now that will affect future applications. Development will be detrimental to historic structures and scenic views. The proposed duplexes are drastically different from dwellings in the existing settlement. Notes that the Plan says no homes are to be built on ridge-lines, yet Lots 2 and 3 are doing exactly that.
- Mary Jane Broughton—Is Act 250 involved? Will abutters be notified? Jamie: Yes, the application is being prepared and abutters will receive notices. The Act 250 and DRB processes run concurrently, but local zoning decisions are desired first. Al Karnatz: noted that when this comes before the ACT 250 panel he will recuse himself because of his involvement in this project for VLT.
- **Dean Richmond**—Agrees wholeheartedly with what Barney said.
- Wrap for the evening—There being no further questions at this time from the DRB or public, Barbara adjourned the Hearing to resume on February 6 at 7:00PM at the Cornwall Town Hall.
- **6. Board Membership**—Magna and Barbara plan to re-up for 3-year terms; Gary wishes to become an Alternate for a 1-year term; David is willing to step up to Regular Membership, for a 3-year term. This will be the DRB's recommendation to the Selectboard after Town Meeting.
- 7. Other Business—None
- 8. Upcoming Meetings
 - *Monday, January 14*—Special meeting to discuss the newly proposed zoning regulations. DRB members are asked to get comments to Barbara, in a Word doc, prior to the meeting.
 - *February 6*—Regular meeting, continuation of the Beaver Brook Hearing. Cheryl will not be here, possibly not Gary either.
 - March 6—Regularly scheduled meeting. Neither Shari nor Gary will be available.

NEXT MEETING: February 6 at 7:00 pm, Town Hall

ADJOURNMENT—Gary MOVED, Magna SECONDED, to adjourn at 9:35PM. Motion passed

Respectfully Submitted, Robin Conway, DRB Secretary

Date: Jan 10,2019

CORNWALL DRB HEARINGS

Hearing: 1. Deaver Front Heaving I

SIGN-IN/SERVICE LIST

BE ADVISED: Only those with "Interested Person" status may appeal a DR 24 VSA §4465(b) defines who may be considered

[To be an Interested Person, one must be a participant in the proceedings. Participation consists of participation, as an observer only—does not qualify as an Interested Person. NOTE: Without

• The person owning title to property at issue in this Hearing, a person occupying the property.

· Persons owning or occupying property in the immediate neighborhood of the property at issue, who can

demonstrate a physical or environmental impact on their interest... and who alleges that the decision

A municipality that has a plan or bylaw at issue in an appeal, or any municipality adjoining that municipality.
Any ten persons, either voters or landowners, who, by signed petition to the DRB, allege that the decision designate one person to serve as their representative.

			PICK GREE
Name (print)	Hearing	Wish to receive Interested Person mailing print your USPS address.	
CHUTCH IN	JR9 60	564 CIDER RIVE RD.	The Cloth
Jamile Simpson	DRD 83		All Son G
Brit Blair	Sig	In I haquing	Tiffany Ro
Sperie BB	88		Karnatz
Man Bonden	धि		Brand
			- Show

Hearing(s) 1. Beauter Fronk Hearing 12.

	Date: //c//			
ion or	Name (print)	Hearing	If you meet the above requirements and wish to receive Interested Person mailings, print your USPS address.	
9 8				A M A
	RICK GREENE			O Ter
ling	111		2011 N. Brughan	
-	The Lie tar-			S de de de
	Oll Co Carthe	J	134 S Birgh	D D Te
	2		1635 Route 74	7 2 4 F
	littany Nounas			SO
	Allen UT		1872 50 W st.	
	Karnate Toust		New Haven	
	MaryJane		36 South Brogham St	
	Brusto		(Orniment)	
	Men. Mara		2011 N. Bingkom X	000
	Amer		POBOXO3 VT 05769 Sallsbury VT 05769	00000
	tim Ducks			0000
				1

2.			00000	00000	00000	00000	00000	00000	00000	
Hearing(s) 1 Favior Brook Heaving I 2.	If you meet the above requirements and wish to receive Interested Person mailings, print your USPS address.	1335 N. B.y have St		1287 N. Bing houn 54.	384 11 Bingham St	let dusas Pircu	461 Turney Ro			
	Hearing		×	6	puc					
Date: '/10/19	Name (print)	Burny & Chris Hodges	Surah Pewso	Deer Bamen Hodge	DEAN K. Church	Guens Cooper	ANNE			
Hearing(s) 1. Eaw EN Hearing 1	If you meet the above requirements and wish to receive Interested Person mailings print your USPS address.		Me slube Dun med 14	2155 SC. Chryhanst West Carn wall	510 spery dd.	Suno				
	Hearing	tr T	88	33	THE STATE OF THE S	38 38				
Date: 1/10/19	Name (print)	Jackson	harles Grobrich	lant 6:11	Lanch	Man				

T

Hearing I Appl Muni App Mun Affe O App O Affe Sta 8₹ Str D Z DA St 7 2 7 0 0 0 wish to receive Interested Person mailings, If you meet the above requirements and 2655. Birgham St Connall Hearing(s) 1. Dayen Groot print your USPS address. 1162000 36 S. BINGHAM 1104 N. Birtham 57 22% > 10 infom 57 Of Sperry Commally V 776 12051 Pornatur Jornard L Pornal all on will DRB BB Hearing Mischen Nuppe Tong 198 525 N. Bing ham st Industrial Dels Saidh POKEY DEB-BB 19 N. Birgham St. Continuen Namen If you meet the above requirementary of Van Weck Satherine • demonstrate a physical or environmental impact on their interest... and who alleges that the decil Marth Amunicipality that has a plan or bylaw at issue in an appeal, or any municipality adjoining that municipal or the persons, either voters or landowners, who, by signed petition to the DRB, allege that the decision SUMBERTI HOUTE DRB-BB 13 S. BINGHAMST. BEAUCH Name (print) War Short Date: Dethang Hill DRB-BB 125 N Zingham St, Col DRB-BB 833 N Dhytan Ponna wish to receive Interested Person ma · Any department or administrative subdivision of the State owning property within the municipality, and t Persons owning or occupying property in the immediate neighborhood of the property at issue, who can 24 VSA §4465(b) defines who may be conside To be an Interested Person, one must be a participant in the proceedings. Participation cor participation, as an observer only—does not qualify as an Interested Person. NOTE: 19 BE ADVISED: Only those with "Interested Person" status may appeal CORNWALL DRE print your USPS address. SIGN-IN/SERV 一方でフュウ、 • The person owning title to property at issue in this Hearing, a person occupying the property. Hearing Low Mestre BN KaeFe Name (print) Date: